# **T&E views on truck CO<sub>2</sub>, VECTO,** and transparency





## **Overview**

- Introduction
  - Truck CO<sub>2</sub> the challenge
  - How to deal with truck CO<sub>2</sub>
  - Priorities for the VECTO process
- Transparency in practice how to achieve it
  - output based approach benefits and limitations
  - Inputs based approach benefits and challenges
  - confidentiality & how to deal with it



# Truck CO<sub>2</sub> – the challenge

- 25% of road transport emissions
- +36% between 1990-2010
- Truck fuel efficiency "stable" since mid-1990s
- Non-ETS commitment of -30% by 2030; important business and economic cost
- No EU policies to deal with truck CO<sub>2</sub> emissions
  ⇔ japan (2005), US (2011), China (2015)





Figure 1: AEA-Ricardo, study for the EC 2011

## How to deal with truck CO<sub>2</sub>

- Enable improvements: trailer aerodynamics, cab design, tire label
- Tackle market barriers: VECTO, transparency, competition
- Accelerate improvements: CO<sub>2</sub> regulation/standards
- Ensure better use of freight vehicles: smart pricing/km-charging



### Vecto – our priorities & concerns

**Priorities:** 

- 1. Ensure VECTO is accurate, reliable and future proof
- 2. Ensure VECTO leads to increased transparency, competition and drives the uptake of clean technologies

Concerns:

- 1. Testing systems for technologies/interactions not modelled by VECTO?
- 2. Lack of real world conformity checking
- 3. Lack of in-service data collection
- 4. Lack of access to the tool for everyone except OEMs



## Increased transparency

#### **Commission priority, we agree:**

- Need a credible, standardized way of testing fuel economy
- Need more competition, in particular on fuel efficiency
- Need to empower truck buyers, enable easy comparisons, choice
- Need market control to ensure lasting robustness of test

#### BUT

- Simulation tool that is seen as black box and not verified in real world will NOT convince hauliers
- Simple aggregate type approval value will NOT change market or buying behavior



# What information needs to be public/accessible? - outputs

- VECTO can produce CO2/FC performance values for different drive cycles, under different payload assumptions
- All the outputs should be monitored and be publicly available
  - CO<sub>2</sub>/FC for all vehicle configurations
  - All duty cycles
  - different payloads (empty, full, half-full)
  - (second-by-second FC during cycles?)
- Enables a degree of comparability



## Limitations of output based approach

- 1. Sales database only vehicles that are sold? New technologies?
- 2. Fleet or country specific duty cycles?
- 3. Supplier technologies accreditation
- 4. Limited information and access for buyers
- Does not facilitate market/3<sup>rd</sup> party checks of VECTO values hides a lot of relevant information
- ➔ Need to consider input values



## Input values

Input values include aerodynamics, rolling resistance, fuel map, gear ratios, masses, ...

Why monitor and make input values public/accessible?

- 1. Enable VECTO FC determination for fleet or country specific duty cycles
- 2. Allows supplier technologies to provide FC values for VECTO vehicles & duty cycles
- 3. Gives vital information to enable informed comparisons (e.g. aerodynamics, rolling resistance, ...)
- 4. Would enable constant, widespread market control on VECTO
- 5. Would facilitate regulatory checks and improvements to VECTO
- 6. Important information for regulator (trends, differences, baselines)



# input 'confidentiality'

- What is really confidential?
  - Can it be reproduced?
  - Does it show how a result was achieved?
  - Is there a real risk for the companies?







# input 'confidentiality'

- How to deal with confidential information?
  - Monitor all input values only publish non-confidential input values
  - Database/platform with all input parameters that can perform calculations but does not show confidential values
- Proposal: establish inventory of options for data handling of confidential inputs that will enable the use of these data for VECTO simulations by 3rd parties without disclosure of the contents.

